NIDS PRINT REQUESTED BY OSD2 AT CRT #25.

PAGE 1

XV/1, 9 &

CDSN = NID241 MCN = 91346/01924 TOR = 913460657 FTSTOR = 683660000

OTTCZYUW RUEHCAA4108 3460652-CCCC--RUEKNID. ZNY CCCCC ZOC STATE ZZH MPF4108 OO RUEHC DE RUEHC #4108 3460652 ZNY CCCCC ZZH ZEX

O 120651Z DEC 91
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO RUFHLD/AMEMBASSY LONDON IMMEDIATE 0000
RUFHFR/AMEMBASSY PARIS IMMEDIATE 0000
INFO NATO EC COLLECTIVE IMMEDIATE
BT

TONE I DENT I A L STATE 404108

NATO PASS TO A/S HADLEY FOR SECDEF CHENEY

TAGS: PREL, UR, NATO, UK

SUBJECT: FOUR POWER MEETING: U.S. VIEWS ON NEXT STEPS

REF: (A) PARIS 33032 (NOTAL); (B) USNATO 5695; (C) USNATO 5696; (D) USNATO 5716; (E) USNATO 5726

I- (CONFIDENTIAL ENTIRE TEXT)

2. SUMMARY AND ACTION REQUEST: THE USG HAS CONSIDERED THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE WEEKEND'S EVENTS IN THE FORMER USSR AND THE FRENCH MESSAGE ON A POSSIBLE FOUR-POWER MEETING. THE US BELIEVES THAT THE NEXT STEP SHOULD BE A FULL DISCUSSION AT THE DECEMBER 19 NAC MINISTERIAL OF THE STATUS OF SOVIET NUCLEAR FORCES AND OF NEXT STEPS FOR ADDRESSING OUR CONCERNS. WE BELIEVE FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF THE FRENCH PROPOSAL SHOULD INVOLVE ALL THE ALLIES. AT THE DECEMBER 20 NACC THE ALLIANCE CAN, CONSISTENT WITH THE ROME SUMMIT COMMUNIQUE ON DEVELOPMENTS IN THE SOVIET UNION. PRESS ITS CONCERNS AND DISCUSS HOW THE CONSTITUENT PARTS OF THE FORMER USSR CAN BEST RESPOND TO THEM. EMBASSIES LONDON AND PARIS SHOULD MAKE THE FOLLOWING POINTS IN INFORMING HMG AND GOF OF THE U.S. POSITION ON ADDRESSING SOVIET NUCLEAR SECURITY AND CONTROL QUESTIONS.

RECOMMEND FULL RELEASE DECLASSIFIED IN FULL

DECLASSIFIED IN FULL Authority: EO 13526 Chief, Records & Declass Div, WHS Date: SEP 0 3 2015

ffice of the Secretary of Defense
hief, RDD, ESD, WHS
ate: 03 SER 2006 Authority: BO 13526+ 5 4.5 < § 552
celassify: X Deny in Pult.
eelussify in Part:
eason:

PAGE 1

RQ 13-M-3460 EMBASSIES SHOULD NOT/NOT LEAVE A COPY OF THE POINTS BEHIND. END SUMMARY AND ACTION REQUEST.

SOVIET SITUATION UNCLEAR

3. THE SITUATION IN THE FORMER SOVIET UNION IS EXTRAORDINARILY DIFFICULT TO UNDERSTAND AND FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS ARE IMPOSSIBLE TO PREDICT. THE DECLARATION OF MINSK HAS USHERED IN A PERIOD OF UNCERTAINTY BETWEEN THE RESIDUAL CENTER AND THE REPUBLICS. WE CANNOT SAY WHAT THE OUTCOME WILL BE, OR HOW LONG THE CURRENT STATE OF AFFAIRS WILL LAST, OR WHAT THE NATURE OF MORE PERMANENT ARRANGEMENTS WILL BE. IN SUCH A SITUATION, WE ARE VERY UNCERTAIN ABOUT WHO WOULD BE THE APPROPRIATE INTERLOCUTORS AND WHAT THE REACTION WOULD BE WITHIN THE FORMER USSR TO ANY PROPOSAL FOR A MEETING AT FOUR.

ALLIANCE REACTION

4. THE PROPOSAL FOR FOUR-POWER MEETINGS ON NUCLEAR ISSUES HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO DIVIDE NATO. IT IS BEING VIEWED AS AN EFFORT TO CREATE A NUCLEAR CLUB OUTSIDE THE ALLIANCE AND A NUCLEAR DIRECTORATE WITHIN IT. THE REACTION OF THE ITALIANS GERMANS, AND OTHER ALLIES TO PRESS LEAKS ABOUT MEETINGS OF THE U.S., UK. AND FRANCE IS PROOF OF THIS. AT A TIME WHEN THE NEED FOR ALLIANCE COHESION IS AS GREAT AS IT HAS EVER BEEN, AND WHEN THE CHANGES IN THE EAST HAVE PUT GREAT PRESSURE ON ALLIANCE SOLIDARITY, WE DO NOT WANT TO ADD A DIVISIVE ITEM TO THE ALLIANCE'S AGENDA LEAST OF ALL ONE THAT CONCERNS NUCLEAR WEAPONS AND POLITICAL ROLES.

OPPORTUNITIES TO GATHER INFORMATION ANDTO PRESS OUT CONCERNS

5. IN THE NEXT FEW DAYS, WE HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO OBTAIN MUCH GREATER INSIGHT INTO DEVELOPMENTS IN THE FORMER USSR
-- INFORMATION THAT IS ESSENTIAL TO MAKING INTELLIGENT DECISIONS ON THE NUCLEAR ISSUE. SECRETARY BAKER WILL BEGIN A TRIP ACROSS THE FORMER SOVIET UNION. DURING THAT TRIP HE WILL CONTINUE TO PRESS HIS INTERLOCUTORS FOR INFORMATION ON THEIR PLANS FOR SOVIET NUCLEAR FORCES AND SEEK THEIR COMMITMENT TO THE PRINCIPLES OUTLINED IN THE NATO SUMMIT COMMUNIQUE ON DEVELOPMENTS IN THE SOVIET UNION. WE UNDERSTAND BRITISH AND FRENCH OFFICIALS WILL BE MAKING SIMILAR JOURNEYS AND, WE FULLY EXPECT, WILL MAKE

PAGE 2

 DECLASSIFIED IN FULL
Authority: EO 13526
Chief, Records & Declass Div, WHI
Date: SEP ? 3 2015

SIMILAR POINTS. OFFICIALS FROM OTHER ALLIES WILL ALSO BE IN THE FORMER USSR AND WE URGE THEM TO INCLUDE NUCLEAR SECURITY AND CONTROL ON THEIR AGENDA. IN SUM WE HAVE MEANS AVAILABLE TO US IN THE NEXT FEW DAYS TO PRESS HOME OUR CONCERNS AND ELICIT INFORMATION ABOUT POST-SOVIET PLANS FOR NUCLEAR WEAPONS.

THE NAC MINISTERIAL

6. GIVEN THE DIRECT IMPACT THE NUCLEAR ISSUE HAS ON THE SECURITY OF ALL ALLIES, AS RECOGNIZED AT THE ROME SUMMIT, THE BEST FORUM FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION IS THE DECEMBER 19 NAC MINISTERIAL. AT THAT TIME ALLIES WILL BE ABLE TO SHARE INSIGHTS FROM THEIR RECENT TRIPS TO THE FORMER USSR. WHAT THEY LEARNED, AND THEIR VIEW OF THE PROSPECTS WITH REGARD TO NUCLEAR SECURITY AND CONTROL. THE A LIANCE CAN ALSO USE THE NAC TO DETERMINE HOW BEST TO PROCEED IN THE FUTURE TO ENSURE THE CONTINUED SECURITY OF FORMER SOVIET NUCLEAR WEAPONRY. WE WOULD URGE FRANCE TO PUT FORWARD ITS PROPOSAL FOR FULL AND FRANK DISCUSSION AT THE NAC. FOR OUPART, WE WILL BE GUIDED BY THE PRINCIPLES SET FORTH IN PARAGRAPH 3 OF THE ROME SUMMIT COMMUNIQUE ON DEVELOPMENTS IN THE SOVIET UNION; WE WILL REMAIN OPEN TO IDEAS ON HOW TO MARRY THE FRENCH APPROACH WITH WITH THE EFFORT WE HAVE IN TRAIN.

THE NACC

7. MOREOVER, THE ROME COMMUNIQUE ON THE SOVIET UNION ALSO PROVIDES A SOLID BASIS FOR FORCEFUL INTERVENTION BY NATO MEMBERS DURING THE DECEMBER 20 NACC. THE NACC PROVIDES AN OPPORTUNITY NOT JUST FOR NATO TO EXPRESS ITS CONCERNS ABOUT SOVIET NUCLEAR WEAPONS. BUT TO ENLIST THE SUPPORT OF THE CENTRAL AND EAST EUROFEAN STATES AS WELL. THE DISCUSSION AT THE NACC COULD INDEED, SERVE AS THE BASIS FOR A PUBLIC STATEMENT OF MINISTERIAL-LEVEL CONCERN AND INTENT TO ENSURE THE SECURITY OF SOVIET NUCLEAR WEAPONS.

BILATERALS

8. IF EMBASSY INTERLOCUTORS RAISE THE POSSIBILITY OF TRILATERAL MEETINGS, EMBASSIES SHOULD STATE THAT, IN THE RUN UP TO THE NAC AND NACC THE U.S. WOULD PREFER TO HOLD BILATERAL DISCUSSIONS WITH FRANCE AND THE UK TO CONSULT AND SHARE OUR VIEWS ON HOW TO PROCEED. WE ARE VERY SENSITIVE TO THE REACTION ANY TRILATERAL MEETINGS COULD

PAGE 3

********** ** CONFIDENTIAL ** *********

6 1 A

INDUCE AMONG OTHER ALLIES AT THIS TIME, GIVEN REACTIONS TO

PUBLICITY ABOUT THE FIRST MEETING. WE DO NOT EXCLUDE THE POSSIBILITY OF FUTURE MEETINGS AT THREE TO COORDINATE OUR APPROACHES.

U.S. STANCE ON THE MITTERRAND PROPOSAL

9. IF ASKED IF THE U.S. IS DEFINITIVELY REJECTING THE MITTERRAND PROPOSAL AND ANY MEETING AT FOUR. EMBASSIES SHOULD REPLY THAT WE DO NOT BELIEVE THAT SUCH A MEETING SHOULD BE HELD AT THIS JUNCTURE, GIVEN THE CHANGING SITUATION IN THE FORMER SOVIET UNION AND ADVERSE ALLIED REACTION, BUT THAT WE ARE NOT REJECTING IT. ALLIED VIEWS WILL OF COURSE CONTINUE TO BE AN IMPORTANT CONSIDERATION FOR US IN HOW TO PROCEED. BAKER

+++PERSONAL FOR+++

ACTION SECDEF-N(1) SECDEF DISTRIBUTION REQUIRED(*) (M,C)
TOTAL COPIES REQUIRED

SOM 07:02:46 EOM 07:02:53 NNNN

> DECLASSIFIED IN FULL Authority: E0 13526 Chief, Records & Declass Div, WHS Date: SEP 0 3 2015

PAGE 4